



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/782,050 | 02/19/2004 | Richard F. Gladney | SMCY-P03-098 5733 | | |
| 28120 | 7590 04/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP ROPES & GRAY LLP | | | SANTOS, ROBERT G | | |
| | RNATIONAL PLACE | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| BOSTON, 1 | MA 02110-2624 | 3673 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/24/200 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|----------------|--|
| 10/782,050 | GLADNEY ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Robert G. Santos | 3673 | |

| | Robert G. Santos | 3673 | |
|--|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>10 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>five</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi ite of the final rejection, o | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | had antones should be a filling a balas | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further condition (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a conditional claims. | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | (576) 664) |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | : | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20 and 22-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | will not be entered, or b) □ will will not be entered, or b) □ will wil | • | - |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s) | l t |
| | | Robert G. Santos Primary Examiner | tanos |

Art Unit: 3673